



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A.
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

MAILED

JUN 09 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Vissa et al.	:	
Application No.: 10/726487	:	DECISION ON
Filing or 371(c) Date: 12/04/2003	:	PETITION
Attorney Docket Number: 2945.004US1	:	

This is a decision on the Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed March 16, 2009.

This Petition is hereby **granted**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely and properly reply to the non-final Office action, mailed July 5, 2005. The Office action set a three (3) month period for reply, and provided for extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a). No complete and proper reply having been received, the application became abandoned on October 6, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed March 17, 2006.

Applicant files the present petition and Amendment in response to the Office action. The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that (1) the reply in the form of an Amendment is filed with the present petition; (2) the petition fee; and (3) the required statement of unintentional delay¹. Accordingly, the reply is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3742 for processing of the response to the Office action filed with the petition in the normal course of business.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3232.

/Derek L. Woods/
Derek L. Woods
Attorney
Office of Petitions

¹ 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." Since the statement appearing in the petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement is being construed as the required statement. Petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct reading of the statement appearing in the petition.